UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/767,808	01/29/2004	Richard E. Rowe	IGT1P205/P-899	5545
22434 BEYER WEAV	7590 04/22/200 'ER LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 7025		PINHEIRO, JASON PAUL		
OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/22/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/767,808	ROWE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JASON PINHEIRO	3714			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 De	ecember 2007				
•	action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 14-38</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 14-38</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement				
Old Min(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 December 2007</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	<del>-</del> · · ·	• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(a) Mail Pate					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3714

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. After the amendment filed on 12/12/2007, Claims 1-12, 14-19, 21-31 and 34-38 were amended. Claim 13 was cancelled. As a result claims 1-12 and 14-38 are pending.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 5-12, 16-27, 30-34 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Paulsen (US 2002/0142846).

Regarding claim 1: Paulsen discloses a network server comprising a network server controller comprising a processor and a memory operatively coupled to said processor (paragraph [0015]), said network server controller being programmed to: receive request data relating to a request for a game (paragraph [0015]), receive preference data relating to preferences of a first player (paragraph [0015]), receive game characteristics data relating to game characteristics of a plurality of available games (paragraph [0016] – paragraph [0018]), select a game from said plurality of available games by comparing said preferences of said first player to said game characteristics of said plurality of available games (paragraph [0015] – paragraph [0016]), provide said selected game in response to said request (paragraph [0015] – paragraph [0016]), a

Application/Control Number: 10/767,808

Art Unit: 3714

gaming apparatus operatively coupled to said network server (paragraph [0011]), said gaming apparatus comprising: a display unit capable of generating video images (paragraph [0011]), a value input device (paragraph [0003]) and a gaming apparatus controller comprising a processor and a memory operatively coupled to said processor (paragraph [0011]), said gaming apparatus controller being programmed to: provide said network server with said request data (paragraph [0011]), receive game selection data relating to said selected game (paragraph [0011]), cause said display unit to generate a game display relating to said selected game (paragraph [0011]), determine a value payout associated with an outcome of said selected game (paragraph [0004]).

Page 3

Regarding claim 2: Paulsen discloses that the network server is further programmed to suggest said game which has been selected from said plurality of available games (paragraph [0072]).

Regarding claims 4, 15 and 29: Paulsen discloses that said second player preferences comprise preferences of a particular player demographic (paragraph [0012]).

Regarding claims 5, 16, 30 and 36: Paulsen discloses that said network server controller is programmed to select an available game by comparing a game previously played by said first player to said plurality of available games (paragraph [0011]).

Regarding claims 6, 17 and 37: Paulsen discloses that said network server controller is programmed to provide previous game data relating to a

game previously played by said first player to said gaming apparatus (paragraph [0011]), wherein said gaming apparatus controller is programmed to cause said display unit to generate a game display relating to said previously played game (paragraph [0011]).

Page 4

Regarding claims 7, and 18: Paulsen discloses that said gaming apparatus controller is programmed to provide said network server controller with a player identification (paragraph [0067]), wherein said network server controller is programmed to receive player profile data relating to a player profile associated with said player identification, said player profile data comprising said first player preferences (paragraph [0011] – paragraph [0012]).

Regarding claims 8, 19 and 38: Paulsen discloses that said network server controller is programmed to select a game characteristic from a plurality of game characteristics based upon said first player preferences to provide a game characteristic selection comprising said selected game characteristic (paragraph [0011]), wherein said gaming apparatus controller is programmed to cause said display unit to generate a game characteristic selection display relating to said game characteristic selection (paragraph [0033]), wherein said gaming apparatus controller is programmed to implement a game characteristic from said game characteristic selection in said selected game (paragraph [0011]).

Regarding claims 9 and 22: Paulsen discloses that which is discussed above. Paulsen further discloses that said controller is programmed to cause said display unit to generate a game display relating to one of the following

games: a game from said game selection, poker, blackjack, slots, keno or bingo (paragraph [0013]).

Regarding claim 10: Paulsen discloses that said display unit comprises a video display unit that is capable of generating video images (paragraph [0013]).

Regarding claim 11: Paulsen discloses that said controller is programmed to cause a video image comprising an image of at least five playing cards to be displayed if said game comprises video poker, wherein said controller is programmed to cause a video image comprising an image of a plurality of simulated slot machine reels to be displayed if said game comprises video slots, wherein said controller is programmed to cause a video image comprising an image of a plurality of playing cards to be displayed if said game comprises video blackjack, wherein said controller is programmed to cause a video image comprising an image of a plurality of keno numbers to be displayed if said game comprises video keno, wherein said controller is programmed to cause a video image comprising an image of a bingo grid to be displayed if said game comprises video bingo (paragraph [0013]).

Regarding claim 12: Paulsen discloses that said display unit comprises at least one mechanical slot machine reel (paragraph [0090]).

Regarding claims 20 and 32: Paulsen discloses that said gaming apparatuses are interconnected to form a network of gaming apparatuses (paragraph [0062], Fig. 2).

Art Unit: 3714

Regarding claim 21: Paulsen discloses that said gaming apparatuses are interconnected via the Internet (paragraph [0062], Fig. 2).

Regarding claim 23: Paulsen discloses that said game characteristics comprise payout tables (paragraph [0013]).

Regarding claim 24: Paulsen discloses that said game characteristics comprise game themes (paragraph [0013]).

Regarding claim 25: Paulsen discloses that said game characteristics comprise a minimum bet (paragraph [0013]).

Regarding claim 26: Paulsen discloses that said game characteristics comprise a game type (paragraph [0013]).

Regarding claim 27: Paulsen discloses that said controller is programmed to select a game characteristic by comparing said first player preferences to said player preferences (paragraph ([0124]).

Regarding claim 31: Paulsen discloses that said controller is programmed to select a game from a plurality of available games based upon said first player preferences to provide a game selection (paragraph [0015] – paragraph [0016]), wherein said controller is programmed to cause said display unit to generate a game selection display relating to said game selection (paragraph [0033]), wherein said controller is programmed to cause said display unit to generate a game display relating to a game from said selection of games (paragraph [0011]).

Art Unit: 3714

Regarding claim 33: Paulsen discloses receiving identification data relating to the identity of a first player (paragraph [0067]); receiving player profile data relating to a player profile associated with said player identity, said player profile comprising preference data relating to preferences of said first player (paragraph [0011] – paragraph [0012]); selecting a game from a plurality of available games based upon said first player preferences to provide a game selection (paragraph [0011]); causing a game selection display relating to said game selection comprising said selected game to be generated (paragraph [0033]); causing a game display of one of the following games to be generated: a game from said game selection, poker, blackjack, slots, keno or bingo (paragraph [0013]); and determining a value payout associated with an outcome of said game represented by said video image (paragraph [0004]).

Regarding claim 34: Paulsen discloses that said network server controller is programmed to receive game characteristics data relating to game characteristics of said plurality of available games, wherein said network server controller is programmed to select an available game by comparing said first player preferences to said game characteristics (paragraph [0016] – paragraph [0018]).

Art Unit: 3714

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3, 14, 28 and 35 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Paulsen (US 2002/0142846) in view of Lark et al (US 2002/0142825).

Regarding claims 3, 14, 28 and 35: Paulsen discloses that which is disclosed above. However, Paulsen does not disclose that said network server controller is programmed to select an available game by comparing said first player preferences to preferences of a second player and selecting a game associated with said second player.

Lark '825 does disclose that said network server controller is programmed to select an available game by comparing said first player preferences to preferences of a second player and selecting a game associated with said second player (paragraph [0124]).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to integrate the teachings of Lark into the teachings of Paulsen in order to provide gaming machines which maintain and increase game playing interest and also reduce a frequency of rearrangement of gaming machines on the casino floor (Lark, paragraph [0010]).

Art Unit: 3714

# Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

7. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks/Arguments, filed 12/12/2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 3, 14, 28 and 35 under 35 USC § 102 (b) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn.

However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of different interpretation of the previously applied reference as discussed above.

#### Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3714

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JASON PINHEIRO whose telephone number is (571)270-1350. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:00 AM - 4 PM;

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Robert E Pezzuto/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714

/J. P./ Examiner, Art Unit 3714